

LANDMARK RESEARCH REPORT



CABA AND THE FOLLOWING CABA MEMBERS FUNDED THIS RESEARCH:

GOLD



SILVER

AcuityBrands













🎇 eMcREY







BRONZE

EPCI ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE





Connect to what's next™

Disclaimer

Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap

Frost & Sullivan has provided the information in this report for informational purpos-es only. The information and findings have been obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, Frost & Sullivan does not make any express or implied warranty or representation concerning such information, or claim that its use would not infringe any privately owned rights. Qualitative and quantitative market information is based primarily on interviews and secondary sources, and is subject to fluctuations. Connected home technologies, and processes evaluated in the report are representative of the market and not exhaustive. Any reference to a specific commercial product, pro-cess, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not con-stitute or imply an endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by Frost & Sullivan. Information provided in all segments is based on availability and the willingness of participants to share these within the scope, budget, and allocated time frame of the project. All directional statements about the expected future state of the industry are based on consensus-based industry dialogue with key stakeholders, anticipated trends, and best-effort understanding of the future course of the industry. Frost & Sullivan hereby disclaims liability for any loss or damage caused by errors or omissions in this report.

© 2018 by CABA. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photo-copy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Citation

CABA Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Gamble, R., Khaund, K., Parkar, N., Paul, P., Vetter, S., Rodriguez, R., Frost & Sullivan; June 2018

Keywords: Smart Home, Connected Home, Home Automation, Connected Devices, In-ternet of Things (IoT), Home Energy Management, Utility Incentives, Energy Savings





Acknowledgements

This study was made possible thanks to the insights and support of the Continental Automated Buildings Association (CABA). The authors wish to acknowledge the sup-port of CABA staff: Greg Walker, Research Director, for his management of the project; Ron Zimmer, President & CEO, for his recognition and promotion of this important topic; and CABA's Marketing and Business Development team, for industry connec-tions and fund raising in support of this study.

The Steering Committee contributed their time and industry expertise to the project at numerous Web conference meetings, offline sessions with the research team, and through interim and final review of the research processes, discussion guides, and this extensive report. The organizations and their representatives are:

Steering Committee

Acuity Brands, Inc.

Tucker Boren Trevor Palmer

BC Hydro

Timothy Mosley David Rogers Katherine King

ComEd, An Exelon Company

James Lyon Kelly Gunn Mark Milby

CSA Group Stephen Brown

American Honda Motor Co., Inc.

Michael Koenig Jeremy Whaling

Hydro One Networks Inc.

Tom Semler George Katsuras Mernaz Malozewski

Hydro-Québec

Michael Fournier Jocelyn Millette Ahmed Daoud

Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.

Justin Berghoff Jay McLellan

Rheem Nitish Singh

Southern California Edison Company Jerine Ahmed





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive	e Summary	7		
Proje	Project Background and Introduction7			
Role	Role of the Steering Committee			
Resea	arch Overview	9		
Meth	odology	9		
Sumi	nary of key Findings	14		
Sı	mmary of Chapter 1: Connected Home Internet of Things Energy Market–An Overview	14		
Sı	Immary of Chapter 2: Consumer Perception Analysis	16		
Sı	Immary of Chapter 3: Addressing Key Connected Home Energy IoT Adoption Challenges	18		
Sı	Immary of Chapter 4: Development of the Future Energy Roadmap	19		
Sı	Immary of Chapter 5: Key Conclusions and Recommendations	22		
Hone	la Case Study	23		
1. Conne	cted Home Internet-of-Things (IoT) Energy Market — an Overview	28		
1.1	Connected Homes IoT Industry Overview	28		
1.2	Connected Home Energy: Adoption by Application Category	31		
1.3	Industry's Perspective of Consumers	33		
1.4	Drivers and Challenges for the Connected Home Energy Market	34		
1.5	Supplementary Ecosystem Participants in the Connected Home Energy Market	37		
2. Consu	ner Perception Analysis			
2.1	Introduction and Methodology of the Survey Process	39		
2.2	Consumer Profiling	39		
2.3	Current Adoption Trends	42		
2.4	Energy Costs: Trends and Perceptions	51		
2.5	Role Of Utility Energy Programs: Trends And Perceptions	58		
2.6	Satisfaction with Current Offerings and Future Potential	64		
2.7	Key Takeaways	75		
3. Addres	sing Key Connected Home Energy IoT Adoption Challenges	78		
3.1	Adoption: Core Issues and Challenges Evaluation	78		
3.2	Industry Consensus Development on Core Issues	83		
3.3	Incentivizing Technology Usage	87		
3.4	Overview of Business Models	89		
3.5	Standardization Initiatives Needed	90		
3.6	Prospects for Collaborative Partnerships	92		
4. Develo	pment of the Future Energy Roadmap	94		
4.1	Elements Leading to Roadmap Milestones	94		
4.2	The Connected Home Iot Energy Roadmap	99		
4.3	Dependencies for Determining Roadmap Adherence	102		
4.4	Key Participants in Ensuring Successful Roadmap Execution	104		
5. Conclu	sions and Recommendations	.106		
5.1	Key Conclusions	106		
5.2	Recommendations	107		
Appendix A: Glossary				
Appendix	x B: References	.111		
Appendix	x C: Consumer Research Questionnaire	.113		



FIGURES

Figure ES 1	Project Steering Committee and Funders	8
Figure ES 2	Respondent Profile	12
Figure ES 3	Area of Residence and Type of Home of the Sample	13
Figure ES 4	Industry Participants in the Connected Home Energy Market	15
Figure ES 5	Drivers and Challenges for the Connected Home Energy Market	16
Figure ES 6	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap - Ideal Scenario	21
Figure ES 7	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Key Conclusions	22
Figure 1	Industry Participants in the Connected Home Energy Market	30
Figure 2	Drivers and Challenges for the Connected Home Energy Market	34
Figure 3	Supplementary Ecosystem Participants	37
Figure 4	Country Classification within the Category of Respondents	40
Figure 5	Area of Residence	40
Figure 6	Respondent and Household Profiles	41
Figure 7	Communication and Connectivity Services in Households	42
Figure 8	Perception of Characteristics of Connected Home	43
Figure 9	Drivers of Interest in Smart Home/Connected Home Solutions	44
Figure 10	Connected Products Already Adopted	45
Figure 11	Connected Products to Be Adopted in the Next 12 Months	46
Figure 12	Drivers of Adoption of Home Energy Solutions – (1/2)	47
Figure 13	Drivers of Adoption of Home Energy Solutions – $(2/2)$	47
Figure 14	Likelihood of Using Home Energy Solutions	48
Figure 15	Reasons for Not Being Willing to Use Home Energy Solutions	49
Figure 16	Devices Used when Controlling Connected Home Energy Management Solutions	
Figure 17	Most Preferred Devices Used when Controlling Connected Home Energy	
8	Management Solution	50
Figure 18	Devices Used to Program the Switching on/off of Lights	50
Figure 19	Devices Used to Program or Control the Thermostat	51
Figure 20	Most Preferred Device to Control the Thermostat	51
Figure 21	Level of Concern about Energy Costs	52
Figure 22	Home Energy Costs in the Past 12 Months (V-o-V change) and Expected Time of	
1 iguite 22	Investment Pavhack	53
Figure 23	Belief in Ability to Manage Home Energy Costs	00 54
Figure 24	Actions Taken to Manage or Peduce Energy Costs (1/2)	55
Figure 25	Actions Taken to Manage or Peduce Energy Costs $(1/2)$	55
Figure 25	Porceived Impact of Various Solutions on Energy Sovings $(1/2)$	55
Figure 27	Perceived Impact of Various Solutions on Energy Savings $(1/2)$	30
Figure 27	Perceived impact of various Solutions of Energy Savings $(2/2)$	57
Figure 20	Actions to Reduce Home Energy Costs to be Taken in the Next 12 to 18 Months	38
Figure 29	Participation in Ounity Programs.	39
Figure 30	Characteristic of Energy-saving or Demand Response Program	59
Figure 31	Delivery Models for Energy Management Services from Utilities	60
Figure 32	Plan Being a Part of the Utility Energy Bill and Preferred First Contact in Case of Malfunction	61
Figure 33	Willingness to Opt In to Allow the Utility Company Temporary Control of a Home	
-	Energy-consuming System	62
Figure 34	Connected Products for Which Control Could Be Granted	62
Figure 35	Preferred Timing of Control	63
Figure 36	Rebate Expectations for Program Participants	64
Figure 37	Satisfaction with Capabilities of Technologies (1/2)	65
~		





Figure 38	Satisfaction with Capabilities of Technologies (2/2)	66
Figure 39	Concerns about Connected Home Energy Management Solutions (1/2)	67
Figure 40	Concerns about Connected Home Energy Management Solutions (2/2)	68
Figure 41	Most Frequently Experienced Connected Systems Malfunction	69
Figure 42	Actions to Facilitate Installation	70
Figure 43	Considered Providers of Connected Home Energy Management Solutions	71
Figure 44	Most Preferred Providers of Connected Home Energy Management Solutions	72
Figure 45	Potential Benefits of Connected Home Energy Management Solutions (1/2)	73
Figure 46	Potential Benefits of Connected Home Energy Management Solutions (2/2)	74
Figure 47	Most Motivating Benefits and Features v/s Current Satisfaction	75
Figure 48	Energy Solutions Adoption v/s Perceived Impact on Energy Savings	76
Figure 49	Challenges in the Adoption of Connected IoT Homes	78
Figure 50	Challenges in Cybersecurity	80
Figure 51	Budget Cuts	83
Figure 52	An Overview of Primary Barriers	
Figure 53	Unmet Needs of the Consumer	85
Figure 54	Customer Engagement	
Figure 55	Home Energy Score	91
Figure 56	Features of Connected Home	
Figure 57	Green Mountain Power - Powerwall Initiative	
Figure 58	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap Scenario 1	100
Figure 59	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap Scenario 2	101
Figure 60	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Key Conclusions	106
Figure 61	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Key Recommendations	107





TABLES

Table ES 1	Primary Research Methodology Description	
Table ES 2	Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Layout of the Report	14
Table ES 3	Adoption by Application Category	16
Table ES 4	Challenges in the Adoption of Connected IoT Home	
Table ES 5	Overview of Elements Leading to Roadmap	19
Table 1	Adoption by Application Category	
Table 2	Connected Home Adoption Issues and Challenges	81
Table 3	Average Electricity Price	82
Table 4	Core Issues and Activity Details	
Table 5	Rebates on Selected Energy Star Appliances	88
Table 6	Connectivity protocols supported by connected home platform developers	
Table 7	Activities for Supporting the Roadmap Elements	102





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

The Continental Automated Buildings Association (CABA) is a not-for-profit industry association dedicated to the advancement of connected home and intelligent building technologies. The Connected Home Council (CHC), a core working council of the Continental Automated Buildings Association (CABA), commissioned this landmark research project, titled "Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap," to evaluate and obtain a comprehensive understanding of the industry and consumer perception regarding energy aspects of connected homes. CABA commissioned Frost & Sullivan to undertake this research project which commenced in December 2017 and was conducted over a 20-week time period.

The evolution of the connected homes industry has seen an increasing level of integration of various technologies which interact with each other for the purpose of controlling, monitoring, and coordinating occupant comfort/convenience and energy savings. Energy management is one of the key functions performed by integrated connected homes. As the market continues to evolve, the requirement for energy management will continue to grow because the consumption of energy is a key aspect of connected home technologies and plays a major role in overall home costs. Various adoption challenges for connected home devices in the context of energy management are present within the connected home ecosystem. As these could impact further market penetration of connected home products and solutions as a result of consumer skepticism and perceived virtual security risks, CHC members have sought to understand the implications of this on stakeholders.

The report provides an analysis and evaluation of industry trends and challenges in addition to consumer feedback regarding connected home energy aspects. It looks into consumers' concerns about high energy consumption, expectations regarding connected home energy solutions, challenges associated with adoption of connected home devices for optimizing home energy usage, current and future adoption of various connected home technologies and their impact on homeowners and industry value chain participants. Further, the development of a Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap for short-, medium-, and long- terms has been undertaken.

The executive summary offers a concise snapshot of the entire research project in a distilled manner, concentrating on the high-level and critical aspects of the findings.







ROLE OF THE STEERING COMMITTEE

The Steering Committee represents a cross-section of vendors, service providers, industry associations, utilities, and experts in the connected home, automation, and smart devices marketplace. Representatives from each organization joined Frost & Sullivan and CABA on regular collaboration calls to guide the research scope and ensure that it met project objectives. Figure ES 1 shows the 14 companies and organizations that supported the project as Steering Committee members and funders.

Figure ES 1 Project Steering Committee and Funders



About CABA

The Continental Automated Buildings Association (CABA) is an international not-for-profit industry association, founded in 1988, dedicated to the advancement of connected home and building technologies. The organization is supported by an international membership of over 385 organizations involved in the design, manufacture, installation and retailing of products relating to home automation and building automation. Public organizations, including utilities and government are also members. CABA's mandate includes providing its members with networking and market research opportunities. CABA also encourages the development of industry standards and protocols, and leads cross-industry initiatives.

Please visit http://www.caba.org for more information.

About Frost & Sullivan

Frost & Sullivan, the Growth Partnership Company, enables clients to accelerate growth and achieve best-in-class positions in growth, innovation, and leadership. The company's consulting methodologies and strategic partnership initiatives provide clients with disciplined research and best-practice models to drive the generation, evaluation, and implementation of powerful growth strategies. The company leverages 50 years of experience in partnering with Global 1000 companies, emerging businesses, industry associations, and the investment community from over 40 offices on six continents. It collaborates with clients to leverage visionary innovation that addresses the global challenges and related growth opportunities that will make or break today's market participants. Frost & Sullivan's integrated value proposition provides support to clients throughout all phases of their journey to visionary innovation including: research, analysis, strategy, vision, innovation, and implementation. The 360 degree coverage includes industry convergence, disruptive technologies, competitive intelligence, visionary innovation research, breakthrough best practices, changing customer dynamics, and emerging economies. To learn more, visit www.frost.com.





The Project Consulting Team

Frost & Sullivan led the research project for CABA, with integral support from Frost & Sullivan's Customer Research Group. The core consulting team and report contributors are:

Frost & Sullivan

Roberta Gamble, Partner and Vice President Konkana Khaund, Director of Consulting Nabeel Parkar, Senior Consultant Pratik Paul, Senior Consultant

Customer Research Group, Frost & Sullivan

Sascha Vetter, Director of Research Operations Romualdo Rodriguez, Ph.D., Consulting Director

To learn more about Frost & Sullivan https://ww2.frost.com

RESEARCH OVERVIEW

Connected homes represent innovative applications of technology meant to enable an improved lifestyle and optimize various functions for its occupants. Energy is an integral part of the connected home ecosystem, and one that is increasingly functioning in a less standalone manner. The connected home IoT energy landscape is evolving and industry participants are retooling old business models to include more services and innovative products. The focus of this research is to study aspects regarding the use and energy consumption/management of these systems in the home. The adoption of these advancements in technology has met with varying levels of success across different application areas due to factors such as initial cost, ease of use, ease of installation and maintenance, proven reliability, integration characteristics, and payback that can offset costs via energy savings.

Key Objectives of the Consumer Research Survey are:

- · Current consumer understanding of the constituent characteristics of a connected home
- Concerns regarding home energy consumption and perceptions of cost trends
- Current and future adoption of various connected home technologies
- Perceptions of connected home technology challenges
- Adoption trends of home energy-saving solutions
- Consumer expectations regarding connected home energy solutions, and the degree of satisfaction in reference to those expectations
- Perceptions regarding involvement with utility energy programs

METHODOLOGY

Frost & Sullivan used a combination of primary and secondary research methodologies to compile information for this project. This included both qualitative research and quantitative tools for analysis and projection of key issues.

Primary Research Process

Primary research formed the basis of this project, with two major components: an industry-focused research module and a consumer research module. The description of each is provided below in Table ES 1.





ltem	Component	Description	Target Group Profile	Sample Size/ Actual Size	Research Technique
A	Homeowners/ Consumers	Consumers of connected homes/smart devices/energy efficient home technologies	Occupant/Homeowner in US and Canada	1,000-1,200/ 1,234	End-user survey through online panels and survey methods
В	Connected Home Technology Vendors and Service Providers	Vendors/suppliers of connected home technology solutions; IoT solution providers; managed service providers; third party assimilators and integrators	Vice Presidents, Directors, Product/ Sales Manager, R&D Specialists, CIOs, CTOs, Alliance Partners	60-75/ 80 percent of target achieved	Analyst Interviews with Industry Stakeholders
С	Utilities and Energy Solution Providers	Utility solutions like smart meters, Sensors and Controls, Renewable Energy Technology providers and grid based solution experts	Utility CEOs/Grid Architects; Retail Consumer Heads; Home Energy Solution and Business Model Decision Makers	25-30/ 70 percent of target achieved	By Invitation Panel/Forum based Analyst Discussion Techniques
D	Industry Influencers	Codes and Standard Development Organizations for connected environments and IoT, Energy Policy Influencers, Regulators, Industry Associations, Academic Influencers, Municipal Authorities	Consulting Engineers, General Contractor, Master Service Integrator, Technology Contractor, Project Designer, ESCO, Specifiers, Commissioning Agents	20-25/ 70 percent of target achieved	Analyst Interviews with Industry Stakeholders
Overall	Overall Sample Size (A+B+C+D) = 1.110-1.330				

Table ES 1 Primary Research Methodology Description

Frost & Sullivan adopted extensively structured and high-profile discussion techniques with target participants for the industry-focused primary research, involving single or multiple senior level personnel and Frost & Sullivan's team of analysts and consultants to engage in insightful deliberations on the subject. This resulted in maximum value output in terms of information exchange and excellent validation of findings from the consumer research survey. Similarly findings of the consumer survey were triangulated with insights from the industry-focused primary research process.

Research Instruments: Questionnaire/Discussion Guide

The discussion guides for both modules of the primary research process were developed by Frost & Sullivan in consultation with the steering committee. Draft discussion guides were reviewed at the early stages of the project and feedback was mutually exchanged between the project team and the steering committee. Thereafter, the discussion guides were run through a soft launch process for market testing. Subsequently, the two research modules were launched. The sample for both research modules were generated using Frost & Sullivan's vast repository of contact sources and databases. The industryfocused primary research accomplished an average 75 percent fulfillment of the target sample. The data obtained from these discussions were analyzed and distilled into the commentary of the report. The





online consumer survey was launched and remained active for a period of 10 weeks in the field. A total of 1,234 responses were collected against an original target of 1,200. The data from these responses were then analyzed using various qualitative and quantitative tools for interpretation in the report.

Secondary Research

Secondary research comprised the balance of the research effort and included published sources such as those from government bodies, think tanks, industry associations, Internet sources, the CABA Research Library, and Frost & Sullivan's repository of research publications and decision support databases. This information was used to enrich and externalize the primary data. References are cited on the first instance of occurrence. Dates associated with reference materials are provided where available.

Any reference to "Frost & Sullivan's research findings, industry interactions, and discussions" in this report is made in the context of primary research findings obtained from this project "Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap," unless otherwise stated. However, the analysis and interpretation of data in this report are those of Frost & Sullivan's consulting team.

Definitions and Consumer Survey Qualification Criteria

For the purpose of this research, a connected home is defined as "a residential environment where owners/occupiers use smart devices, appliances, communication features, controls, centralized hubs, and other functionalities that are enabled by information technology that anticipates and responds to the needs of the occupants, working to promote their comfort, convenience, energy savings, and security, among other functions. This definition helped in defining a rapidly evolving concept with a broad stroke, thus providing study participants a degree of flexibility in envisioning and discussing it. Based on this definition, the connected home landscape encompasses participants from all leading product and solution categories, including integrated platforms, connected home devices, energy management, network technologies, utilities, and IT and Internet security technologies.

Participants in the consumer survey were offered the same definition of a connected home; however, for easy understanding and screening purposes, a battery of screening questions was asked as part of the qualification criteria before allowing them to proceed with the survey. The respondent screening and qualification process entailed the following qualifiers:

- Had to be 18 years or older
- Country classification of respondents (US/ Canada)
- Number of people living in a house
- Average gross household income per year
- Played a role in the decision-making process for investments in connected home solutions

Qualified respondents were further categorized by the following:

- Geographic distribution—urban, suburban, rural
- Type of home unit— apartment, condominium, single family home, semi-detached home and townhouse.





Figure ES 2 and ES 3 respectively show the respondents profile, geographic distribution and type of home.









Figure ES 3 Area of Residence and Type of Home of the Sample







Layout of the Report

The report is structured into five chapters with an executive summary outlining the overall objectives, research areas and findings, Chapters 1-5 and an appendix. Table ES 2 provides a brief layout of the report to help navigate its contents.

Sections	Title	Content
Preface	Executive Summary	Background and introduction; objectives, methodology and definition, overview of top findings
Chapter 1	Connected Home Internet of Things Energy Market— An Overview	Overview of connected home, connected home energy management, industry participants, adoption by application category, industry's perspective of consumers, and drivers and challenges for the connected home energy market
Chapter 2	Perception Analysis	Introduction and methodology, sample classification, consumer profiling, current adoption trends, energy cost trends and perception, role of utility programs, and current and future potential of connected home technologies
Chapter 3	Addressing Key Connected Home Energy IoT Adoption Challenges	Issues and challenges in connected home adoption, consensus development on core issues, incentivizing technology and standardization initiatives
Chapter 4	Development of the Future Energy Roadmap	Elements necessitating roadmap milestones, the connected home IoT energy roadmap, dependencies for determining roadmap adherence and key participants in ensuring successful roadmap execution
Chapter 5	Conclusions and Recommendations	Conclusions of the research and key recommendations
Addendum	Appendix	Glossary of terms; references

SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS

The key findings of this research as discussed through Chapters 1-5 are outlined subsequently. Discussion under each heading represents a synopsis of the chapter corresponding to it in the report.

Summary of Chapter 1: Connected Home Internet of Things Energy Market–An Overview Connected Homes Overview

A connected home can be defined as "A residential environment where owners/occupiers use smart devices, appliances, communication features, controls, centralized hubs, and other functionalities that are enabled by information technology that anticipates and responds to the needs of the occupants, working to promote their comfort, convenience, security, and entertainment, among other functions." Connected homes achieve this by enabling multiple system functions, such as smartphone- and tablet-controlled heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) or lighting systems, Wi-Fi connected security, entertainment systems and appliances, programmable devices, and systems capable of driving energy efficiency. This definition was adopted through Frost & Sullivan's interactions with the connected home industry and consultations with the steering committee for this research. It builds upon previous Frost & Sullivan and CABA projects in the connected home arena.





The Connected Home and IoT

For effective intercommunication and increasing the mobility of control and monitoring aspects, connected homes rely on both an internal and external communication network. Internet of Things (IoT), in simple terms, refers to the connection of disparate products, systems, and platforms to the Internet.

In line with the IoT definition from the International Telecommunication Union (the United Nations' global agency for information and communication technologies), this research defines IoT as "the network of physical objects—devices, vehicles, buildings, and other items embedded with electronics, software, sensors, and network connectivity—that enables these objects to collect and exchange data."

The Internet Protocol (IP) layer brings in a higher level of accessibility and processing/monitoring capabilities to support the connected systems in the home.

Connected Homes: Energy Context

Energy management is one of the key functions performed by integrated connected homes. As the market continues to evolve, the requirement for energy management will continue to grow because the consumption of energy is a key aspect of connected home technologies and plays a key role in overall home costs. Current market forces are expected to drive energy saving initiatives and advancements, causing homeowners and other stakeholders to become increasingly judicious in their consumption of energy.

Industry Participants

There are multiple categories of participants in the connected home energy ecosystem and all of them play a part in driving the direction of the industry and developing the overall environment. To understand and develop a roadmap for connected home energy, it is imperative to gain an understanding of the various participant categories in the overall market.

Some key participant groups in the industry are illustrated in Figure ES 4 and described below:









Adoption by Application Category

The status of market maturity of connected/energy savings features across various home application categories has been provided below in Table ES 3.

Application Category	Market Maturity of Smart/ Connected Features	Market Maturity of Energy Saving Features	Impact on Home Energy Consumption
HVAC	Medium	High	High
Lighting	High	High	Medium
Thermostats	High	High	High
Energy Management, Information Monitoring, Diagnostics	Medium	Medium	High
Communication Infrastructure	High	Medium	Medium
Fire & Life Safety	High	Low	Low
Physical Security	High	Low	Low
Connected Appliances	Medium	Medium	Medium
Connected Home Entertainment	High	Low	Low
Smart Plugs and Power Strips	High	High	High

Table ES 3 Adoption by Application Category

Drivers and Challenges for the Connected Home Energy Market

Some key drivers and challenges for the connected home energy market have been highlighted below in Figure ES 5.

Figure ES 5 Drivers and Challenges for the Connected Home Energy Market

Drivers

- Consumer awareness of benefits
- Mobility of solutions via smartphones
- Energy- and cost-saving opportunities
- Incentives provided for adoption
- Development of wireless networking technologies
- Reduction in the costs of connected devices



Challenges

- Cybersecurity and privacy concerns
- Complex installation and troubleshooting mechanisms
- Initial cost concerns

Summary of Chapter 2: Consumer Perception Analysis

The consumer research module was designed to capture valuable insights regarding energy aspects and adoption trends associated with connected home solutions. The key highlights and observations of the consumer research are discussed below.

Adoption Trends: Current and Future

It was observed that respondents closely related the perception of what constitutes a connected home to systems with high energy consumption. This was followed by systems/devices accessible over Wi-Fi





such as connected security, entertainment systems and appliances. The primary drivers of interest in connected homes were observed to be utility/energy/cost savings followed by convenience and security. In terms of currently adopted connected home technologies, entertainment was the most popular. However, for intended adoption in the next 12 months, smart thermostats were found to be the most popular system.

System/Device Control Factors

When it comes to most preferred devices for control of home energy management systems, smartphones are the most popular among respondents with majority preference, followed by centralized control consoles. Voice-based control, which has high growth potential in the market, continues to be in early stages of adoption among respondents.

As a centralized control system that can control multiple energy consuming devices, thermostats form an important part of connected home energy control. In-built dedicated thermostat consoles remain the most preferred mode of thermostat control, followed by a dedicated smartphone application and an overall home energy smartphone application. Voice-based control is in nascent stages when analyzed for thermostats separately too.

Energy Cost Perceptions and Trends

Energy costs are a high concern area for most respondents in both Canada and the US. Energy saving investments made in this regard however, have an expected payback period of one to two years, which was the most desirable payback period among respondents. It is required to increase awareness among consumers regarding possible steps that can be taken for making their homes energy efficient. This stems from the observation that close to half of the consumers surveyed believed that home energy costs are either not within their control at all or half to most of costs are out of their control. In terms of most popular energy efficiency measures taken, installation of LED bulbs and Energy Star certified appliances were at the top of consumer minds. However, when considering the perceived impact of multiple energy efficiency measures, the increase of home insulation, adopting high efficiency HVAC equipment and Energy Star certified appliances were the most popular.

Utility-Related Insights

Among utility programs, the most popular among respondents were incentives for home energy efficiency upgrades followed by the provision of reports on home energy consumption and demand response programs. However, notably, demand response programs were found to be much higher in popularity among US respondents compared to Canadian respondents. This is expected to be due to a combination of multiple factors leading to lower incentives for the adoption of demand response programs in Canada currently.

In the case of consumers who have adopted demand response programs, the majority were found to have selected the control device themselves. This indicates a possible requirement for utilities to collaborate with multiple brand vendors for ensuring seamless communication between utilities, and connected homes for demand response purposes. Incentives could also be offered to consumers for selecting certain control devices. For demand response programs, close to half of respondents interested in such programs would be willing to offer utilities temporary control of a connected system in the home. Top capabilities that consumers would be willing to offer for utility control are cooling in summer, heating in winter, lighting and hot water tank heating.

With regard to additional offerings from utilities in the connected home energy space, the majority of respondents stated that they would prefer or consider the business model of a utility providing energy management services for their homes. Other popular potential offerings include utilities assisting with the finance of home energy products through the energy bill and utilities providing supplementary services such as security, safety, etc. Most respondents who opted for connected home services from utilities would prefer to have the services included in their energy bills. In case of system malfunctions





under the utility provided services business model, utilities were found to be the most preferred first point of contact for consumers compared to device manufacturers and installing contractors.

Summary of Chapter 3: Addressing Key Connected Home Energy IoT Adoption Challenges

The core issues that challenge the adoption of connected home IoT devices revolve around broad topics of high initial and maintenance costs, low perceived benefits, issues associated with the integration of the latest technologies, and growing concern about the security and privacy of personal information. Furthermore, the lowcost of energy in some states, and the Trump administration's budget cuts for energy policy programs (including Energy Star) is adding to the challenges of continuously offering energy efficiency solutions for connected homes. Therefore, it is important to look at the dynamics that challenge and restrain the adoption of integrated home devices. Some key issues and challenges are shown in Table ES 4.

Challenges	Propagated By	Description
Value Proposition	Homeowner	Generally, consumers are uncertain about the significance of connected devices and perceive them as solutions reserved for the tech savvy and the affluent individuals.
High Initial Setup Cost	Homeowner and technology vendors	The prices of IoT enabled home automation technologies are the primary barrier to adoption. This may indicate that consumer understanding about benefits is still relatively weak.
High Installation Cost	Homeowners and system integrators	The number of smart devices to be integrated adds a layer of complexity to the installation processes that consumers would rather avoid. Therefore, some consumers prefer to have a professional install their systems subsequently resulting in substantial increases in installation costs.
Security and Privacy Issues	Homeowner, technology vendors, and system integrators	The use of IoT in the connected home provides open access and control of devices to operators and service providers, making it vulnerable to cyber threats.
Integration and Connectivity Issues	Technology vendors and system integrators	Due to various proprietary technologies and sporadic adoption of open protocols, consumers and professional system integrators face an increasingly complex task in ensuring proper integration and communication of various smart devices. Some challenges in home automation IoT connectivity are interoperability, signalling, bandwidth, and power consumption.
Lack of Regulation and Standards	Professional regulatory bodies	Currently, there is no clear regulation and standards to secure data generated during the communication of various connected home devices. There are preferred best practices guidelines, but legislation and transparency about who gets the access to information and how the data is used is lacking and possibly creating a barrier in widespread consumer adoption of IoT home devices.
Credits and Incentives	Utility companies and administrative bodies	Financial incentives and rebates are motivating factors for the adoption of connected home devices. However, most consumers feel that the credits and incentives offered by utility companies are limited to relatively smaller gain.
Application Functionality	Technology vendors	When multiple devices are controlled from a single application, there can be disadvantages since many features of the devices might not be controllable by the application. This can occur due to a reduced level of customization.

Table ES 4 Challenges in the Adoption of Connected IoT Home





Summary of Chapter 4: Development of the Future Energy Roadmap

A number of factors are expected to influence the future direction of the industry in terms of specific milestones along the industry development roadmap. An analysis is provided of the elements that can drive implementation of the roadmap and the roles of key participants in ensuring successful adherence to the roadmap.

Table ES 5 provides an overview of elements leading to the roadmap milestones.

Table ES 5	Overview	of Elements	Leading to Roadmap	,
	0 101 110 10	of Eletherits	Leading to Roadinap	

Elements of Energy Roadmap	Roadmap Description
Device Compatibility Issues	Device compatibility is a current challenge that results in issues in the installation and operation of overall connected home ecosystems. Given that different communication protocols have advantages depending on respective applications, increased collaboration/ partnerships between communication protocol providers would be beneficial for the industry at large, especially if the industry does not consolidate towards a particular protocol.
Monitoring High Energy (HVAC) Equipment	Given the large role that HVAC equipment can play in residential energy consumption, the monitoring of such equipment would be of interest to utilities. It could be of interest to utilities to form a consortium/association to certify products/installation and maintenance contractors to bring in larger control over the acceptance and maintenance of equipment in homes.
The Interest of Insurance Providers	Having mitigating measures to prevent home damages or having information to analyze behaviours that might lead to undesired events are of high interest to insurance organizations. This could bring an increased involvement of insurance organizations in the connected home energy ecosystem as they collaborate with technology vendors, service providers, or utilities.
Increased Diagnostics of Home Energy Equipment	An implementation of connected home energy technology will provide an enhanced diagnostics features such as real-time alert to users' smartphones in case of any required maintenance. This would help increase overall home efficiencies across the grid.
Al-based Troubleshooting and Customer Service	An additional feature in equipment diagnostics, an AI-based solution to self-rectify issues or provide alerts to consumers with guidance on rectifying issues could possibly find traction in the long term. Alerts could be then sent by the system to service providers/vendors in the case of issues not being rectified at the system/user level.
Connected Home Evaluation Services	Apart from home energy audits and ratings, overall home cybersecurity audits could also penetrate the market, either as standalone offerings or offerings bundled with home energy audits.
The Popularization of Energy Services from Utilities	The provision of energy management services from utilities for the residential sector on a large scale is a possibility in future years. The results of our consumer survey indicate that 71 percent of respondents would prefer or consider the business model of utilities providing energy management services.
Further Development of Voicebased Control Infrastructure	As the collaboration levels between vendors and platform providers increase, voice-based control is expected to further develop in sophistication to include complex control mechanisms for systems and also interaction with utility programs.
Monetization of Energy Usage Data	Various organizations can monetize the usage data and behaviour trend by providing it to technology vendors/other service providers so they can design innovations based on perceived acceptance from consumers.
Subscription-based Connected Home Energy Products	Given consumer concerns around initial costs for upgrading their infrastructure to make systems connected for energy efficiency purposes, business models for providing connected home devices on a subscription basis are expected to find higher acceptance.





Elements of Energy Roadmap	Roadmap Description
Connected Home Energy Packages	Collaboration could be made possible to provide bundled connected home energy offerings to consumers requiring the installation of the overall ecosystem.
Residential Distributed Energy Resources (DER)	The addition of various technologies such as solar photovoltaic (PV) cells and battery storage, would have an impact on utility demand response program dynamics as consumers will also start to depend on battery storage options during peak demand periods in addition to utilizing net metering options.
EV Charge Scheduling	Scheduling of EV charging is expected to require interaction with the grid and could be assimilated into residential demand response programs. EV scheduling platforms could also be upgraded to allow for bi-directional charging where vehicles can both get charged from the grid and also discharge power back to the grid as per requirements, thus ensuring higher flexibility for consumers and utilities.
Residential Microgrid Integration	The development of residential microgrids in the long term is a possibility that could enable crossutilization of neighbourhood battery storage units during peak demand periods.





The Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap - Ideal Scenario

Figure ES 6 Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap - Ideal Scenario



CABA Connected Home Council

CONNECTED HOME IOT ENERGY ROADMAP © CONTINENTAL AUTOMATED BUILDINGS ASSOCIATION 2018



Considering the high impact that EV power demand is expected to have on the overall grid, analyzing initiatives taken in this regard is necessary to determine specific requirements for achieving the milestone of successful EV integration with the overall grid. The following case study provides valuable insights into this.

Summary of Chapter 5: Key Conclusions and Recommendations

The top findings of this research validate some of the early hypotheses around the opportunities associated with the concept of energy within an IoT enabled connected home environment, and the various dynamics, issues and challenges it presents for both, homeowners, and industry participants. Consumer concern about high energy consumption, expectations regarding connected home energy solutions, challenges associated with adoption of connected home devices for optimizing home energy usage, were juxtaposed against industry issues such as system complexities, ecosystem unification issues, lack of collaboration among various entities, and above all, a lagged development of the utility industry that may challenge accomplishing the identified milestones in this energy roadmap. The key conclusions of this research are summarized in Figure ES 7.

Figure ES 7 Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Key Conclusions

Connected Home IoT Energy Roadmap: Key Conclusions

Connected home technology advancements has met with varying levels of success across different application areas due to factors such as costs, installation, payback to offset costs.

Unlike traditional benefits associated with convenience and comfort, utility/energy/cost savings is one of the primary drivers of consumer interest in smart/connected home solutions. The energy savings factor can help usher in the next wave of connected home technology adoption.

A greater understanding of the benefits and transparency of energy management solutions will help combat the gap between availability and adoption of connected home IoT technology. This can be achieved by engaging with all industry stakeholders.

The partnerships among various device vendors and utility companies could ensure greater energy saving plans and more ways to offer innovative incentives and rebates, elements critical for successful accomplishment of the roadmap milestones.

Partnerships to enable the convergence of behind-the-meter technologies to manage energy efficiency programs (such as demand response programs) and maintaining electrical grid stability are needed to help consumers, as well as the industry ecosystem, to accomplish key goals in this roadmap.

The key recommendations of this research include following:

- Implement best practices to promote connected home certification based on energy savings, comfort, convenience, security and other performance attributes
- Develop real-time monitoring and data analytics capabilities to increase predictability of maintenance and consumer usage trends
- Develop common standards for open communication protocols for cross-compatibility of products and platforms
- Collaborate on connected home industry initiatives, policies and standards, and technology development to ensure interoperability, privacy, security, and transparency
- Innovate new business models that will become one of the primary means to create value for customers





HONDA CASE STUDY

Controlled Electric Vehicle Charging

Highlighting the opportunity for electric vehicles to create value by responding to the needs of the electric grid



Source: American Honda Motor Co., Inc.

American Honda Motor Co., Inc.

Project Partners:

eMotorWerks Research and Project Partner

Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) OVGIP Project Manager

Project Address:

American Honda Motor Co., Inc. 1919 Torrance Blvd Torrance, CA 90501

Key Highlights:

- Because most vehicles spend the vast majority of their time parked, there is an opportunity for electric vehicles to create value by responding to grid needs through scheduling or delaying charging or even providing power back to the grid (V2G).
- The revenues from grid services could help reduce total cost of ownership.
- Commanding vehicles during high renewable energy production could reduce $\rm CO_2$ emissions, and
- Smart charging programs could increase brand awareness and loyalty
- Services in which EVs could participate include:
 - Demand response
 - Real time markets
 - Ancillary services
 - Demand mitigation
 - Energy arbitrage
 - Home backup power





Controlled Electric Vehicle Charging - American Honda Motor Co. Inc.

Honda has a global target to reduce total CO2 emissions to 50% below 2000 levels by 2050.

This requires fullscale adoption of electrification and renewable energy to power our products!

Project Partners

eMotorWerks Research and Project Partner

Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) OVGIP Project Manager This case study has been provided in arrangements with American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("Honda")

Project Overview

An average vehicle spends more than 90% of its lifetime parked. There is an opportunity for electric vehicles and plug-in hybrids to take advantage of this through intelligent charging. Average charge times for EVs (using a 240V station) range from one to three hours, compared with the average vehicle park time of over 12 hours for a weekday night. There is an opportunity to delay, slow, or temporarily stop charging without any impact to the customer's actual use of the vehicle.

Program Details

The study focuses on finding appropriate grid markets in which vehicles could participate, at multiple scales, from individual vehicles to aggregations of thousands.

Honda has already conducted initial real world testing in wholesale and utility markets of the vehicles' ability to respond to events, and customers' acceptance and perception of value.

Honda has also joined the Open Vehicle Grid Integration Project (OVGIP), a consortium of automobile manufacturers working together to further VGI collaboration with utilities. By working together with other automakers, utilities can reach out to customers through a single interface.

Key market needs necessitating program development:

- Reducing higher initial cost of EVs compared to gasoline vehicles through grid services
- Lowering environmental impact of EV charging by adjusting charge rates based upon grid CO_p levels
- Increasing the grid's renewable energy penetration by charging when excess renewable energy is available and preventing renewable energy curtailment (while providing a zero emissions source of electricity for mobility!)
- Lowering the cost of providing power for charging by intelligently charging multiple EVs at one location (such as a workplace)





Controlled Charging (V1G) Use Cases

In each of the following use cases, the vehicle (or aggregate of vehicles) responds by either starting or stopping charging or otherwise adjusting the charging schedule.

Wholesale Market Participation

By aggregating many EVs into a larger resource, they can participate in wholesale energy markets. These markets are complex, vary by region, and can be volatile in nature. Using the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) as an example, EV resources can be bid into several markets such as the Demand Response Auction Mechanism (DRAM), Real Time Market (RTM), Day Ahead Market (DA) and Ancillary Service (AS) markets.

In general, vehicles would stop charging when wholesale prices are high, and resume when prices have lowered. If prices go very low, such as during excess production of renewables, vehicles could start charging in order to absorb the excess, low cost, low carbon electricity. Ancillary service dispatches would coordinate adjustments in vehicle charge rate based on grid needs.

Utility Specific Programs

These programs lower costs by reducing demand based on signals sent by the local utility when the distribution system is stressed. Aggregated EVs could be incorporated into existing demand response programs with the utility, or new programs could focus specifically on EVs to manage the overall demand curve, even to a distribution circuit level.

Site Level Demand Control

Site level demand control views the demand patterns of the entire site load (buildings or whole house including charging station) as seen by the utility's meter, and implements a control mechanism to reduce peak power demand while still meeting the driver's charging needs. For a charging station attached to a building (not separately metered), site level demand reduction is implemented by measuring the building load and delaying or slowing EV charging such that it does not add to peak level demand.



Source: American Honda Motor Co., Inc.

Renewable Energy Maximization

The ability of EVs to adjust charging schedules can be used to synchronize charging with excess renewable energy production. In March and April of 2017, the California ISO curtailed 160,000 MWh – an amount that could power approximately 560 million miles of driving. The energy curtailed was primarily solar, which peaks during the day. Incentives to encourage installation of workplace charging and encourage daytime home charging can be used to increase the load during the day.





Grid Challenges that Unmanaged Vehicles Could Cause

As EV adoption increases, Honda believes two key issues may arise:

- Time of use periods creating a "mini peak" around the TOU off peak start time, caused by timers in vehicles or stations that are set to begin charging at the same time
- Local load management at the final transformer level for residential load the so-called "Neighborhood Effect", where multiple EVs on one residential transformer could cause overloading if all the vehicles charge around the same time

These challenges stem from the lack of "randomness" that is normally seen by other loads. For example, many residential air conditioners may be running on a hot day, but the thermostats of each AC unit are independent such that each unit is not cycling on and off at the exact same time. With time of use periods encouraging off peak charging at a fixed time each night, many drivers may set the same start time on their vehicle or station timer, causing



local or even system-wide issues. Both of Source: Idaho National Labs Report

these challenges can theoretically be solved by introducing artificial randomness in the vehicle charging start time and coordination of vehicle clusters. However, there is currently no market mechanism, incentive, or means for them to be addressed. Therefore, these challenges are ripe for pilot testing and experimentation with utilities, automakers, EVSE manufacturers, and academia.

Bidirectional Charge/Discharge (V2G) Use Cases

The following are use cases in which the vehicle is able to both charge from the grid and supply power back to the grid. While the above use cases can benefit from V2G, the below use cases require discharge of the vehicle battery.

Energy Arbitrage

Increasingly, utilities are offering time of use rates to residential customers. With V2G technology, a vehicle could charge at an off peak (lowest cost) time period, and discharge back into the grid at the on peak (highest cost) time period, and would earn credit or income for the difference in the two. For a high differential rate, this could be quite valuable – in the example time of use rate shown at right,



Source: Southern California Edison

the earnings would be \$0.25 per kWh moved. For a house using 10 kWh in the evening, this could be \$2.50 per day or \$55 per month (assuming 22 days a month of participation).

Home Backup Power

Vehicle to grid technology enables the vehicle to provide power to the home. Such "vehicle to home", or V2H, technology utilizes an offgrid inverter to supply energy to the home. Honda has developed a Power Exporter that allows for up to 9kVA of AC power output, similar to a portable generator. he Power Exporter went on sale in Japan in 2016.







The electric vehicle represents a very different kind of load, one that can take its power at a multitude of power levels and timeframes without any impact to actual use of the vehicle.

With long plug in times found at home and workplaces, there is an opportunity to have vehicles provide grid services while they would otherwise be unused.

Consumer acceptance of controlled charging depends on properly capturing driving needs such that the vehicle is always charged when the customer expects it.

Communication and trust between the vehicle, customer, and controlling entity must be very strong to ensure a reliable system.

There are a multitude of grid services that vehicles can perform by slowing or delaying charging.

Vehicle to Grid technologies allow vehicles to provide power back to the grid. V2G enhances most use cases and enables several more.

Anticipated Challenges during Implementation for V1G

- Any failure to charge the vehicle by the customer's expected use time results in a negative impact and often causes drivers to opt out of future events or even the entire program.
- Verification data from the vehicle confirming response in a program is often not at utility revenue-grade meter standards.
- Today's programs often use the whole house meter in lieu of vehicle data. This has several drawbacks, including:
 - Variability in house load wiping out the vehicle response
 - Difficulty in getting the utility to authorize sharing of meter data with outside entities
 - Complications arising when the customer of record for the utility bill and the driver of the vehicle are not the same person

Anticipated Challenges during Implementation for V2G

- Properly anticipating the customer's use of the vehicle is critical - a misstep could leave the driver with much lower range than expected.
- Inverters on vehicles are currently certified to different standards than solar inverters. As vehicles become part of the grid, utilities will need to adopt equivalent automotive standards such as SAE J3072, which governs inverters on vehicles.
- Utilities are often not prepared for vehicles that could discharge in multiple locations. This presents logistical challenges such as billing and site certification that are new to the utility industry.

Concluding Remarks

The key to enabling widespread adoption of smart vehicle charging is finding the highest value application.

There are many ways EVs can be used to provide services for the grid, from site demand management of one vehicle to aggregated response of thousands of vehicles. There is also the potential to stack various programs together, for example, by providing energy arbitrage as well as backup power. Another example is a utility program that sends both stop and start charging commands depending on grid load, renewable generation, and a randomness factor to help smooth the dispatch of many cars. In any case, the future is bright within this space as more consumers are turning to EVs for their next purchase.







LANDMARK RESEARCH REPORT

CABA 2018 888,798.CABA (2222) 613.686.1814 (x226)

